

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/693,075	SATAPATHY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Helen Rossoshek	2825

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after non-final

(1) Helen Rossoshek. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen Sullivan (Registration No. 38,329). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 May 2006

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,6,9,10-14,19,22-26, *Drawings*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amendment for claims 1,6,9,10-14,19,22-26 for clarification purposes and notified Applicant's representative, that drawings 4B and 5B should be replaced according to attached Draftsperson's notice. Agreement has been reached*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)